

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

	CIVIL ACTION NO.
ROSARIO, <i>et al.</i>	:
ANDRUS	02-3025
STOCK	02-3103
LOVE	02-3116
LAMPIKE, <i>et al.</i>	02-3284
JONES	02-3286
DUNLAP, <i>et al.</i>	02-3305
NOBLE, <i>et al.</i>	02-3318
SERRANO	02-3349
STAINITSKI, <i>et al.</i>	02-3367
MOATS	02-3392
JOHNSON, <i>et al.</i>	02-3408
GLASCO, <i>et al.</i>	02-3473
WALL, <i>et al.</i>	02-3489
	02-3500
VS.	:
BAYER CORPORATION, <i>et al.</i>	:

O R D E R

AND NOW, this _____ day of June, 2002, the Court having been previously advised that the above action cannot proceed to trial and disposition because of the following reason:

- [] - Order staying these proceedings pending disposition of a related action.
- [] - Order staying these proceedings pending determination of arbitration proceedings.
- [] - Interlocutory appeal filed.
- [X] - Other: Case is placed into suspense pending receipt of the C.T.O. from the Judicial Panel on Multi District Litigation.

It is

ORDERED that the Clerk of Court mark this action closed for statistical purposes and place the matter in the Civil Suspense File, and it is

FURTHER ORDERED that the Court shall retain jurisdiction; and that the case be restored to the trial docket when the action is in a status so that it may proceed to final disposition; this order shall not prejudice the rights of the parties to this litigation.

BY THE COURT:

Petrese B. Tucker, Judge